

Sugammadex versus conventional neuromuscular blockade reversal on surgical throughput times: a retrospective review

David Gajewski, PharmD

PGY1 Resident

david.gajewski@hcamidwest.com

Research Medical Center



Research Medical Center

- Research Medical Center (RMC)—Kansas City, Missouri
 - 590-bed tertiary care facility
 - Level I trauma center
 - Joint Commission Comprehensive Stroke Certified
 - Level IIIa NICU
 - 18 OR suites
 - Orthopedics
 - Neurosurgery
 - Cardiothoracic surgery
 - Grossman Burn Center
 - Kidney/pancreas transplant
 - Sarah Cannon Cancer Center
 - Autogeneic bone marrow transplant



Project Background

- Three distinct meta-analyses conclude sugammadex is preferable to neostigmine for reversal of NMB^{1,2,3}
 - Faster reversal
 - Lower risk of residual neuromuscular blockade after extubation
 - Lower likelihood of respiratory & cardiovascular adverse effects as well as postoperative weakness
- One meta-analysis determined that postoperative discharge was accelerated – from the operating room to the post-anesthesia care unit (PACU); however, the underlying randomized trial only assessed laparoscopic cholecystectomy procedures (n=34)^{2,4}
- Prior to this project start, published studies had not analyzed the time from NMB reversal to PACU discharge for all types of surgical operations

Reversal Administration to PACU

- Primary objective
 - NMB reversal administration time to PACU admission
- Secondary objectives
 - Total time in PACU
 - NMB reversal administration time to PACU discharge
- Requires a case-by-case analysis of surgeries where neostigmine and sugammadex are used for NMB reversal

Methods

- Data was mined from an automated dispensing cabinet for operating room withdraws of sugammadex and/or neostigmine (10/1/18 – 3/31/19)
- Analysis of the data generated a list of approximately 2,000 withdrawal instances
- 150 patients were randomly selected from both groups
- Patient charts were examined and the necessary data extracted for purposes of the study

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

| Inclusion | Exclusion |
|--|----------------------------|
| Patients undergoing surgical procedure(s) where the following paralytic drug was used: Rocuronium | < 18 years of age |
| | Pregnancy |
| | Prisoner status |
| | CrCl < 30 mL/min |
| | Incomplete anesthesia logs |
| | Illegible anesthesia logs |

Study Results and Recommendations

- Mann–Whitney U-test
- Primary endpoint
 - The median time from NMB reversal administration to admission to the PACU was significantly less for sugammadex compared to neostigmine
 - 17 minutes vs 22 minutes, respectively; $p < 0.05$
- Secondary endpoints
 - Total median time in PACU
 - 78 minutes compared to 76 minutes, respectively; $p = 0.64$
 - Administration of NMB reversal to PACU discharge
 - 92 median minutes compared to 100 median minutes, respectively; $p = 0.14$

Study Limitations

- High number of excluded patients due to incomplete data in hand-written case records
- Non-blinded & open-label
- Patients who received sugammadex tended to have more pre-anesthesia medical co-morbidities

Summary

- Previous evidence suggests that sugammadex is a safer alternative to neostigmine and provides a faster time to resolution of NMB^{1,2,3}
- This study indicates that sugammadex decreases the time from NMB reversal to OR discharge
- The time saved by using sugammadex in all surgical procedures may lead to increased throughput and a net cost savings. Further study is warranted.

Sugammadex versus conventional neuromuscular blockade reversal on surgical throughput times: a retrospective review

David Gajewski, PharmD

PGY1 Resident

david.gajewski@hcamidwest.com

Research Medical Center



References

1. Casans-Francés R, Espinosa A, Martínez-Hurtado E, et al. A systematic review of sugammadex vs neostigmine for reversal of neuromuscular blockade. Wiley Online Library. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/anae.13277>. Published November 11, 2015.
2. Carron. Efficacy and safety of sugammadex compared to neostigmine for reversal of neuromuscular blockade: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Journal of Clinical Anesthesia*. 2016; 35 :1-12. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27871504>. doi:10.1016/j.jclinane.2016.06.018. Published June 7, 2016.
3. Carron. Role of sugammadex in accelerating postoperative discharge: A meta-analysis.. *Journal of Clinical Anesthesia*. 2017; 39 :38-44 . <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28494905>. doi:10.1016/j.jclinane.2017.03.004. Published March 4, 2017.
4. Grintescu. Comparison of the cost-effectiveness of sugammadex and neostigmine during general anaesthesia for laparoscopic cholecystectomy.. *BJA : British Journal of Anaesthesia*. 2009; 103 (6). Published 2009.